Abstraction in timing diagram

- An alternative syntax presents states not on the vertical axis but as hexagons on the lifeline.
- Timing diagrams present the coordination of (the states of) several objects over (real) time.



Usage: Interaction overview

- Organize large number of interactions in a more visual style
- Defined as equivalent to using interaction operators



also allowed: fork/join (said to be equivalent to par, but ...)

Complex interactions

- A complex interaction is like a functional expression:
 - an InteractionOperator,
 - one or several InteractionOperands (separated by dashed lines),
 - (and sometimes also numbers or sets of signals).



- strict
 - operand-wise sequencing
- seq
 - lifeline-wise sequencing
- loop
 - repeated seq
- par
 - interleaving of events
- region (aka. "critical")
 - suspending interleaving
- consider
 - restrict model to specific messages
 - i.e. allow anything else anywhere
- ignore
 - dual to consider

- ref
 - macro-expansion of fragment
- alt
 - alternative execution
- opt
 - optional execution
 - syntactic sugar for alt
- break
 - abort execution
 - sometimes written as "brk"
- assert
 - remove uncertainty in specification
 - i.e. declare all traces as valid
- neg
 - declare all traces as invalid
 (→ three-valued semantics)

Modelling with UML, with semantics

Main concepts (metamodel)



Semantics

- The meaning of an interaction is
 - a set of valid traces, plus
 - a set of invalid traces.
- Traces are made up of occurrences of events such as
 - sending/receiving a message,
 - instantiating/terminating an object, or
 - time/state change events.
- Two types of constraints determine the valid traces:
 - 1) send occurs before receive,
 - 2) order on lifelines is definite.



This diagram contains the following seven constraints:

1) $a \rightarrow d$, $e \rightarrow b$, $f \rightarrow c$ 2) $a \rightarrow b$, $b \rightarrow c$, $d \rightarrow e$, $e \rightarrow f$

The set of resulting traces is: { a.d.e.b.f.c, a.d.e.f.b.c }.

- seq
 - compose two interactions sequentially lifeline-wise (default!)
- strict
 - compose two interactions sequentially diagram-wise





repeated application of seq

loop(P, min, max)= seq(P, loop(P, min-1, max-1))loop(P, 0, max)= seq(opt(P), loop(P, 0, max-1))loop(P, *)= seq(opt(P), loop(P, *))

for some interaction fragment P



- par
 - shuffle arguments
- region
 - execute argument atomically, i.e. disallow interleaving



SND(a) — RCV(a)

SND(a).RCV(a).SND(b).RCV(b) SND(a).SND(b).RCV(a).RCV(b) SND(a).SND(b).RCV(b).RCV(a) SND(b).SND(a).RCV(a).RCV(b) SND(b).SND(a).RCV(b).RCV(a) SND(b).RCV(b).SND(a).RCV(a)



SND(a).RCV(a).SND(b).RCV(b) SND(a).SND(b).RCV(b).RCV(a) SND(b).RCV(b).SND(a).RCV(a)

Modelling with UML, with semantics

• alt

- alternative complete execution of one of two interaction fragments
- opt
 - optional complete execution of interaction fragment:
 opt(P) = alt(P, nop)
- break
 - execute interaction fragment partially, skip rest, and jump to surrounding fragment

- ignore, consider
 - dual way of expressing:
 - allow the ignorable messages (!) anywhere
 - present only those messages that are to be considered
 - [ignore(P,Z)] = shuffle([P] , Z*)



• ref

- refers to a fragment defined elsewhere (macro-expansion)
- Formal and actual parameters (bindings) are declared in the diagram head.



• Signals to the containing classifier appear as arrows form the diagram border.

Interaction operators: negation

- The semantics of neg and assert is unclear.
- In contrast to that the other operators, they refer not just to the positive traces, but to invalid and inconclusive traces as well.



- neg
 - declare all valid traces as invalid
 - inconclusive traces: unknown

assert

• remove uncertainty by declaring all inconclusive traces as invalid

- Complex interactions like high-level MSCs added.
- New diagram types:
 - timing diagrams (like oscilloscope), and
 - interaction overview (similar to restricted activity diagram)
 - renamed collaboration diagram to communication diagram
- Completely **new metamodel**.
- Almost formal three-valued semantics of valid, invalid and inconclusive interleaving traces of events.
- Some semantical problems are yet to be solved.

Unified Modeling Language 2

Profiles







Modelling with UML, with semantics

Usage scenarios

Metamodel customization for

- adapting terminology to a specific platform or domain
- adding (visual) notation
- adding and specializing semantics
- adding constraints
- transformation information

Profiling

- packaging domain-specific extensions
- "domain-specific language" engineering



Stereotypes (1)

• Stereotypes define how an existing (UML) metaclass may be extended.



• Stereotypes may be applied **textually** or **graphically**.



- Visual stereotypes may replace original notation.
 - But the element name should appear below the icon...



Stereotypes (2)

- Stereotypes may define meta-properties.
 - commonly known as "tagged values"
- Stereotypes can be defined to be **required**.
 - Every instance of the extended metaclass has to be extended.
 - If a required extension is clear from the context it need not be visualized.



Profiling

• Profiles **package** extensions.





Metamodel

- Based on **infrastructure library** constructs
 - Class, Association, Property, Package, PackageImport



Metamodeling with Profiles

- Profile extension mechanism imposes restrictions on how the UML metamodel can be modified.
 - UML metamodel considered as "read only".
 - No intermediate metaclasses
- Stereotypes metaclasses below UML metaclasses.

Wrap up

- Metamodel extensions
 - with stereotypes and meta-properties
 - for restricting metamodel semantics
 - for extending notation
- Packaging of extensions into profiles
 - for declaring applicable extensions
 - "domain-specific language" engineering

Object Constraint Language 2

A first glimpse



History and predecessors

• Predecessors

- Model-based specification languages, like
 - Z, VDM, and their object-oriented variants; B
- Algebraic specification languages, like
 - OBJ3, Maude, Larch
- Similar approaches in programming languages
 - ESC, JML

History

- developed by IBM as an easy-to-use formal annotation language
- used in UML metamodel specification since UML 1.1
- current version: OCL 2.3.1
 - specification: formal/2012-01-01

Usage scenarios

- Constraints on implementations of a model
 - invariants on classes
 - pre-/post-conditions for operations
 - cf. protocol state machines
 - body of operations
 - restrictions on associations, template parameters, ...
- Formalization of side conditions
 - derived attributes
- Guards
 - in state machines, activity diagrams
- Queries
 - query operations
- Model-driven architecture (MDA)/query-view-transformation (QVT)

Language characteristics

- Integration with UML
 - access to classifiers, attributes, states, ...
 - navigation through attributes, associations, ...
 - limited reflective capabilities
 - model extensions by derived attributes

• Side-effect free

- *not* an action language
- only possibly describing effects

Statically typed

- inherits and extends type hierarchy from UML model
- Abstract and concrete syntax
 - precise definition new in OCL 2

Simple types

Predefined primitive types

- Boolean true, false
- Integer -17, 0, 3
- Real -17.89, 0.0, 3.14
- String "Hello"
- Types induced by UML model
 - Classifier types, like
 - Passenger no denotation of objects, only in context
 - Enumeration types, like
 - Status Status::Albatros, #Albatros
 - Model element types
 - OclModelElement, OclType, OclState

Additional types

- OclInvalid invalid (OclUndefined)
- OclVoid null
- OclAny top type of primitives and classifiers

Parameterized types

Collection types

- Set(*T*)
- OrderedSet(*T*)
- Bag(*T*)
- Sequence(T) lists
- Collection(T)
- Tuple types (records)
 - Tuple($a_1 : T_1, ..., a_n : T_n$)
- Message type
 - OclMessage for operations and signals

Examples

- Set{Set{ 1 }, Set{ 2, 3 }} : Set(Set(Integer))
- Bag{1, 2.0, 2, 3.0, 3.0, 3} : Bag(Real)
- Tuple{x = 5, y = false} : Tuple(x : Integer, y : Boolean)

sets

multi-sets

abstract

like Sequence without duplicates

Type hierarchy

- Type conformance (reflexive, transitive relation \leq)
 - OclVoid $\leq T$
 - OclInvalid $\leq T$
- for all types T but OclInvalid
 for all types T

- Integer≤Real
- $T \le T' \Longrightarrow C(T) \le C(T')$ for collection type C
- $C(T) \leq \text{Collection}(T)$ for collection type C
- generalization hierarchy from UML model
- $B \leq \text{OclAny}$ for all primitives and classifiers B

Counterexample

- ¬(Set(OclAny) ≤ OclAny)
- Casting
 - v.oclAsType(T) if v:T' and $(T \le T' \text{ or } T' \le T)$
 - upcast necessary for accessing overridden properties

Expressions



Set{1, 2}->iterate(i : Integer; a : Integer = 0 | a+i) = 3

Many operations on collections are reduced to iterate

Expressions: Standard library (1)

- Operations on primitive types (written: *v*. *op*(...))
 - operations without () are mixfix

OclAny	=, <>, oclIsTypeOf(T), oclIsKindOf(T),
Boolean	and, or, xor, implies, not
Integer	+, -, *, /, div(i), mod(i),
Real	+, -, *, /, floor(), round(),
String	<pre>size(), concat(s), substring(l, u),</pre>

• Operations on collection types (written: $v \rightarrow op(...)$)

Collection	<pre>size(), includes(v), isEmpty(),</pre>
Set	<pre>union(s), including(v), flatten(), asBag(),</pre>
OrderedSet	append(s), first(), at(i),
Bag	<pre>union(b), including(v), flatten(), asSet(),</pre>
Sequence	<pre>append(s), first(), at(i), asOrderedSet(),</pre>